Molecular genetic overlap between posttraumatic stress disorder and sleep phenotypes
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Abstract

Study Objectives: Sleep problems are common, serving as both a predictor and symptom of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), with these bidirectional relationships well established in the literature. While both sleep phenotypes and PTSD are moderately heritable, there has been a paucity of investigation into potential genetic overlap between sleep and PTSD. Here, we estimate genetic correlations between multiple sleep phenotypes (including insomnia symptoms, sleep duration, daytime sleepiness, and chronotype) and PTSD, using results from the largest genome-wide association study (GWAS) to date of PTSD, as well as publicly available GWAS results for sleep phenotypes within UK Biobank data (23 variations, encompassing four main phenotypes).

Methods: Genetic correlations were estimated utilizing linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC), an approach that uses GWAS summary statistics to compute genetic correlations across traits, and Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses were conducted to follow up on significant correlations.

Results: Significant, moderate genetic correlations were found between insomnia symptoms (r_g range 0.36–0.49), oversleeping (r_g range 0.32–0.44), undersleeping (r_g range 0.48–0.49), and PTSD. In contrast, there were mixed results for continuous sleep duration and daytime sleepiness phenotypes, and chronotype was not correlated with PTSD. MR analyses did not provide evidence for casual effects of sleep phenotypes on PTSD.

Conclusion: Sleep phenotypes, particularly insomnia symptoms and extremes of sleep duration, have shared genetic etiology with PTSD, but causal relationships were not identified. This highlights the importance of further investigation into the overlapping influences on these phenotypes as sample sizes increase and new methods to investigate directionality and causality become available.
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Statement of Significance

This paper provides the first formal investigation into genetic overlap between sleep phenotypes and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which, despite known epidemiologic relationships, has yet to be studied at the genetic level. We present evidence for shared genetic influences between PTSD and several sleep traits, including insomnia and sleep duration, harnessing the power of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium for PTSD and incorporating genetic data from the largest publicly available datasets. We also conduct Mendelian randomization analyses to examine potential causal relationships, although we do not find evidence for causality. Despite this, moderate genetic correlations shown here highlight genetic overlap, indicating potential shared pathophysiology. Additional work to further examine the basis of these correlations and test bidirectionality and causality is warranted.
Introduction

Approximately 70% of adults worldwide are exposed to at least one traumatic event in their lifetime [1], with 7%–30% developing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [2], characterized by symptoms of intrusion, avoidance, negative alterations in mood and cognition, and alterations in arousal and reactivity [3]. Disruptions in sleep are common complaints among individuals with PTSD [4] and, indeed, sleep difficulties are included within the arousal and reactivity (insomnia) and intrusion (trauma-related nightmares) clusters [3]. However, the relationship between sleep disturbance and PTSD is likely greater than symptom overlap, as research supports a complex and bidirectional relationship between sleep and disorders that share internalizing characteristics, such as major depressive disorder (MDD) and PTSD [5, 6]. Longitudinal studies in Veterans demonstrate that insomnia prior to deployment is a strong predictor of future PTSD or MDD diagnosis [7] and that insomnia is associated with PTSD and depressive symptom severity in this population [8]. Often, sleep symptoms are persistent and difficult to treat in the context of psychiatric disorders, serving as global markers of disorder severity and negative sequelae [9–12]. Understanding this comorbidity is important, as sleep problems themselves are also common: one in three adults in the general population report at least one symptom of sleep disturbance and 6%–10% of people meet the DSM diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder [5].

One approach to further elucidating the nature of these bidirectional relationships is through genetics. Both insomnia and PTSD are moderately heritable, with estimates from the twin literature ranging from approximately 20% to 60% for insomnia [13] and 30% to 70% for PTSD [14]. There is also some evidence for quantitative sex differences across both phenotypes, although notably only sex effects for PTSD have been shown to be consistent within the molecular genetics literature [15–17]. Gene identification efforts have been rapidly growing, encompassing both genome-wide association studies (GWAS) as well as consortia efforts that afford meta-analysis of individual GWAS studies to gain statistical power. At the time of writing, there had been 10 individual GWAS of PTSD [18] and one combined GWAS conducted by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) PTSD workgroup [16], with the second PGC-PTSD GWAS under review (now published) [17]. There were nine GWAS of insomnia-related phenotypes [19], 12 GWAS of sleep duration [20], and four of chronotype [21]. Relationships between sleep and PTSD at the genetic level have yet to be investigated in great detail. However, there is evidence for shared genetic etiology between PTSD and numerous disorders characterized by both internalizing and externalizing symptomatology [22] that also share genetic influences with sleep [23].

For example, longitudinal twin studies demonstrate substantial genetic overlap between insomnia and depression [23, 24], and shared genetic influences between MDD and PTSD have also been documented in the twin literature, with genetic correlations estimated at over 50% [25, 26]. Similarly, there is evidence for this overlap at the molecular genetics level as well, with several recently published GWAS reporting moderate genetic correlations between insomnia and depression phenotypes (range 0.34–0.53) [19, 27, 28], and the most recent PGC-PTSD publication reporting a correlation of 0.62 between PTSD and depression [17]. However, no studies to date have explicitly examined genetic overlap, biometric, or molecular, between sleep phenotypes and PTSD. As large-scale GWAS of insomnia phenotypes increasingly show more robust genetic correlations with psychiatric disorders, as opposed to other sleep phenotypes [29, 30], a better understanding of genetic relationships between sleep and PTSD is clearly warranted.

Statistical genetic methods that utilize genomic data in aggregate allow for the examination of molecular overlap across phenotypes, often without the need for individual-level genetic data. An example of this is Linkage Disequilibrium score regression (LDSC or LDSC) [31], which incorporates data from GWAS summary statistics to calculate genetic correlations ($r_g$) between phenotypes based on available single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and LD scores. This method has been used to demonstrate genetic correlations between many medical and psychiatric traits [31, 32], including genetic correlations between insomnia and metabolic traits [19] and between PTSD and schizophrenia [16, 17]. While genetic correlations provide information regarding relationships across traits, they cannot identify causal associations or provide information regarding directionality. There are also methods that utilize summary-level data to conduct Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses [33], which allow one to test whether or not a specific exposure is causally related to an outcome variable through genetic polymorphisms [34]. The current study addresses gaps in the literature, outlined above, by examining genetic correlations between PTSD and sleep phenotypes (i.e. insomnia symptoms, sleep duration, daytime sleepiness, chronotype), and then conducting MR analyses on phenotypes with significant correlations to determine whether genetic markers associated with sleep phenotypes are causally linked with PTSD.

Methods

Samples

PTSD

PGC-PTSD meta-analysis summary statistics from Freeze 2 [17], which include 174 659 (European ancestry [EA]) individuals (23 212 cases with PTSD and 151 447 controls; all adults) from 51 studies, were used for genetic correlation analyses. Only EA summary statistics were used given that the current version of LDSC cannot be used on genetic data from individuals with admixed ancestry (e.g. African Americans and many Latinos). For MR analyses, PGC-PTSD meta-analysis summary statistics from Freeze 1.5 (total $N = 48 471; 12 823$ cases with PTSD and 35 648 controls), which excludes individuals from the UK Biobank dataset, were used. This is necessary, as MR analyses are not robust to sample overlap [33] and including the full results from Freeze 2 would bias results.

Sleep

Sleep phenotypes included those present in a total of 23 publicly available sets of summary statistics. Seventeen sets of summary statistics were chosen from sleep phenotypes available on LD Hub in April 2018; two phenotypes explicitly stated to reflect sleep apnea were excluded [35]. Of note, seven of these phenotypes were taken from the UK Biobank GWAS (run by Neale and colleagues; http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank/, last accessed May 30, 2019, see description below). An additional six sleep phenotypes (results from newly published manuscripts of updated UK Biobank data with larger sample size were taken from the UK Biobank GWAS (run by Neale and colleagues; http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank/, last accessed May 30, 2019, see description below). An additional six sleep phenotypes (results from newly published manuscripts of updated UK Biobank data with larger sample size...
sizes) were downloaded from the Sleep Disorders Knowledge Portal (http://sleepdisordergenetics.org/informational/data/, last accessed May 30, 2019) in May 2019. Although also present in the Sleep Disorders Knowledge Portal, results from two additional publications (one with actigraphy data and another investigating nighttime oxygen) were not included, as these phenotypes are outside the scope of this manuscript.

Phenotypes used as follows: insomnia symptoms (N = 4), sleep duration (N = 8), daytime sleepiness (N = 4), chronotype (N = 6), and ICD-10 sleep diagnosis (N = 1), which encompasses multiple categories of sleep disorders, including insomnias and hypersomnias. All sleep GWAS utilized the UK Biobank dataset, which is a large, population-based sample of adults aged 40–69 residing in England, Scotland, and Wales [36]. It is important to note that analyses of UK Biobank participant characteristics have demonstrated evidence of selection bias toward healthy individuals [36]. Sleep phenotypes varied in their conceptualization, and all samples included both sexes. Each of the 23 sleep phenotypes does not necessarily represent a unique data point; instead there are multiple conceptualizations of the same category of phenotype analyzed across different subsets of the UK Biobank data. A subset of included studies provided results for phenotypes separated by sex (N = 4; insomnia symptoms [19, 27], daytime sleepiness [19], and sleep duration [19]).

UK Biobank GWAS

The UK Biobank GWAS, accessible at http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank/ (last accessed May 30, 2019), is a genetics resource developed by Neale and colleagues, who conducted a series of genome-wide analyses across thousands of phenotypes available in the UK Biobank dataset (N = 337 000). The 2017 release (used in analyses presented here) also includes LDSC heritability estimates. In order to conduct genetic analyses on such a large scale, an automated system was used to create phenotypes, making all statistical distributions normal or binomial, running all models as linear, and modeling all alleles with additive effects. Only individuals of EA who were not related were used in genetic analyses. Furthermore, genetic analyses also had the following restrictions with regard to inclusion criteria: SNPs imputed from the HaploType Research Consortium (initial analyses), MAF > 0.1, INFO > 0.8, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p > 1E-10. Thus, while this resource provides summary statistics for a wide range of phenotypes with large sample sizes, a limited number of SNPs are included, and phenotypes have not been validated. Consequently, all results should be considered preliminary and may change as new iterations of the data are developed. Detailed information regarding phenotypes, methods, and results can be accessed at the website listed above.

Data analytic methods and plan

Note that this work conforms to the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Genetic correlations

Genetic correlations between PTSD and sleep phenotypes were estimated using LDSC [31] version 1.0.0, downloaded from https://github.com/bulik/lrsc/ (Accessed August 17, 2019). Estimates were also stratified by sex where possible (i.e. for females only, as the heritability estimate for PTSD in males within the PGC–PTSD is not significantly different from zero [17]). Summary statistics files were filtered initially for removal of SNPs with INFO < 0.9 or MAF < 0.01 or > 0.99. Next, all files were run through the munge_sumstats.py script included in the LDSC program and filtered on a list of HapMap 3 SNPs in order to retain only well-imputed SNPs; the major histocompatibility complex was also removed, resulting in 1 215 001 potential SNPs retained for analysis. European ancestry LD scores from 1000 genomes were used (https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/, Accessed August 17, 2019). The intercept was not constrained for LDSC analyses. To correct for multiple testing, a Bonferroni-adjusted p-value of 0.0022 (p = 0.05/23 genetic correlations) was used as the threshold for significance.

Mendelian randomization

For sleep phenotypes found to be significantly correlated with PTSD, we conducted MR analyses to further investigate potential causal and bidirectional relationships between these traits. MR is a method that uses genetic data (i.e. independent genome-wide significant [GWS] SNPs often referred to as “instruments”) to determine if an exposure (e.g. short sleep duration) is causally related to an outcome (e.g. PTSD diagnosis). Analyses can also be run in the reverse direction (i.e. by flipping the exposure and the outcome variables) to examine bidirectionality of causal effects. Note that here, we utilize sleep variables as the exposure; this is in part due to low power for running PTSD as the exposure (discussed in detail elsewhere in the manuscript), but also makes sense based on the literature: Sleep phenotypes are modifiable (e.g. insomnia can be treated with cognitive behavioral therapy [37]), and longitudinal studies clearly demonstrate effects of pre-trauma sleep disturbances on post-trauma psychiatric phenotypes [7, 8]. For analysis, we utilized the R package TwoSampleMR (https://mrcrieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/, last accessed May 30, 2019) [33], which provides a streamlined approach to run MR analyses using summary statistics level data. For MR analyses, we used PTSD summary statistics from Freeze et al. [17].

Summary statistics were formatted per the guidelines specified in TwoSampleMR, beginning with the same files utilized for LDSC (i.e. filtered based on MAF and INFO, as above). The online tutorial (https://mrcrieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/, last accessed May 30, 2019) was used as a guide to conduct the appropriate steps of data management prior to analysis. For each sleep phenotype (“exposure”), SNPs with p < 5 × 10^{-4} were chosen as potential instruments. The “clump” command, with default settings, was then run to retain only independent GWS SNPs for use in MR analysis. Next, data for each of the chosen SNPs was extracted from summary statistics of the outcome variable (i.e. PTSD). Data harmonization was conducted for each combination of exposure phenotype and PTSD to verify the presence of corresponding effect alleles. Once this was completed, MR analyses were run using the default setting for the methods list, which runs MR using five different methods: MR Egger, weighted median, inverse variance weighted (IVW), simple mode, and weighted mode. The IVW method is the default method and the simplest, but does have assumptions regarding horizontal pleiotropy (i.e. deviations from independence).
where an instrument [SNP] has an effect on the outcome variable that is independent [not mediated by] of its effect on the exposure variable, whereas these assumptions are less stringent within the MR Egger method [33, 38]. We also conducted MR analyses by single SNP and ran additional tests examining heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy. A detailed explanation of each MR method and its assumptions, as well as additional tests, is beyond the scope of this manuscript, but further information can be found in the main methods of the original publication for TwoSampleMR and supporting statistical papers, referenced in the tutorial [33]. A Bonferroni-adjusted p-value of 0.0125 ($p = 0.05/4$ sleep exposures) was used as the threshold for significance for MR.

Note that several limitations of the data affected MR analysis. First, given that all sleep phenotypes were from the UK Biobank dataset, and there were significant correlations across multiple versions of the same phenotype, we chose a representative phenotype for each significant genetic correlation (i.e. insomnia symptoms, sleep duration, short sleepers, long sleepers). Note that the two significant daytime sleepiness phenotypes from the UK Biobank GWAS were not included in MR analyses, as these correlations are weaker, and the phenotypes have not been validated. Furthermore, we did not specifically test for reverse directionality (PTSD to sleep phenotypes), as the PTSD phenotype is not yet well powered for MR (i.e. there are not sufficient GWS instruments; only two GWS SNPs

Table 1. PTSD and sleep phenotypes utilized in genetic correlation analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source*</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>SNP-heritability (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD [17]</td>
<td>PTSD, binary</td>
<td>48 471 (12 823 Ca; 35 648 Co)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC, Freeze 1.5</td>
<td>PTSD, binary</td>
<td>174 659 (23 212 Ca; 151 447 Co)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms, binary</td>
<td>58 702 (31 767 Ca; 26 935 Co)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerschlag et al. [27]</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms, binary</td>
<td>113 006 (32 384 Ca; 80 622 Co)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank GWAS</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms, continuous</td>
<td>336 965</td>
<td>0.06 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [29]</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms, binary</td>
<td>237 622 (129 270 Ca; 108 352 Co)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration</td>
<td>Sleep duration, continuous</td>
<td>127 573</td>
<td>0.07 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [19]</td>
<td>Sleep duration, continuous</td>
<td>111 975</td>
<td>0.06 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank GWAS</td>
<td>Sleep duration, continuous</td>
<td>335 410</td>
<td>0.07 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashti et al. [42]</td>
<td>Sleep duration, continuous</td>
<td>446 118</td>
<td>0.07 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. [20]</td>
<td>Long sleepers, binary</td>
<td>91 306 (10 102 Ca; 81 204 Co)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashti et al. [42]</td>
<td>Long sleepers, binary</td>
<td>339 926 (34 184 Ca; 305 742 Co)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. [20]</td>
<td>Short sleepers, binary</td>
<td>110 184 (28 980 Ca; 81 204 Co)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashti et al. [42]</td>
<td>Short sleepers, binary</td>
<td>411 934 (106 192 Ca; 305 742 Co)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime sleepiness</td>
<td>Excessive daytime sleepiness, continuous</td>
<td>111 648</td>
<td>0.05 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [19]</td>
<td>Daytime dozing/sleeping (narcolepsy), continuous</td>
<td>336 082</td>
<td>0.05 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank GWAS</td>
<td>Nap during the day, continuous</td>
<td>337 074</td>
<td>0.08 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank GWAS</td>
<td>Easy to get up in morning, continuous</td>
<td>336 501</td>
<td>0.07 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronotype</td>
<td>Chronotype, continuous</td>
<td>127 898</td>
<td>0.12 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [21]</td>
<td>Chronotype, continuous</td>
<td>100 420</td>
<td>0.12 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank GWAS</td>
<td>Chronotype, continuous</td>
<td>301 143</td>
<td>0.12 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. [51]</td>
<td>Chronotype, continuous</td>
<td>449 734</td>
<td>0.11 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [21]</td>
<td>Extreme chronotype [eveningness], binary</td>
<td>35 672 (8 724 Ca; 26 948 Co)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. [51]</td>
<td>Morningness, binary</td>
<td>403 195 (252 287 Ca; 150 908 Co)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>ICD-10 Sleep Diagnosis, binary</td>
<td>337 199 (2025 Ca; 335 174 Co)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.051)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ca, case; Co, control; GWAS, genome-wide association study; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; PGC, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; SE, standard error; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

*All sources analyzed data from the UK Biobank. The description “UK Biobank GWAS” refers to a series of analyses conducted on UK Biobank data (2017 release), which can be accessed at: http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank/ (last accessed May 30, 2019).

†This is the PTSD phenotype used for genetic correlations presented in this manuscript; both PTSD heritabilities were scaled to a population prevalence of 30%.

‡Insomnia cases were defined as individuals endorsing “Often” on the sleeplessness item, while controls included individuals endorsing “Never/Rarely.” Individuals endorsing “Sometimes” were designated as missing and not used in analysis.

§Insomnia cases were defined as individuals endorsing “Often” on the sleeplessness item, while controls included individuals endorsing “Never/Rarely.” Individuals endorsing “Definitely a morning person” or “Maybe a morning person.” All other individuals were defined as missing.

||Denotes that this heritability estimate was not published; instead it was estimated from downloaded summary statistic data using LDSC and converted to the liability scale (with sample prevalence = population prevalence), if appropriate. Otherwise, the estimate was taken from the original source publication or https://nealelab.io/UKBB_LLSC/ (last accessed May 30, 2019) as appropriate.

¶Long sleepers were defined as individuals reporting 9 or more hours of sleep, while controls were those endorsing 7-8 h.

††Note that this phenotype encompasses multiple categories of sleep disorders, including insomnia, hyperinsomnia, circadian rhythm disorders, sleep apnea, narcolepsy and cataplexy, other sleep disorders, and unspecified sleep disorder.
were identified in EA individuals) [17]. The use of Freeze 1.5 (as opposed to Freeze 2), which has a much smaller sample size, also decreased the power to detect associations through MR.

**Results**

**Univariate SNP-heritability estimates**

Briefly, heritability estimates based on additive genetic variance from autosomal SNPs ($h_{SNP}^2$) for PTSD and sleep phenotypes were derived from previous research and are shown in Table 1, including the original GWAS sample size and phenotype description. PTSD (Freeze 2) had a small, but significant, $h_{SNP}^2 = 0.05$ (SE = 0.010), with a similar estimate seen for Freeze 1.5 ($h_{SNP}^2 = 0.05$ [SE = 0.018]). For sleep phenotypes, $h_{SNP}^2$ estimates were generally small and ranged from 0.06 to 0.18 for insomnia symptoms, 0.06–0.09 for sleep duration, 0.05–0.08 for daytime sleepiness, 0.11–0.40 for chronotype, and 0.13 for ICD-10 sleep diagnosis.

**Main genetic correlation analyses**

Figure 1 presents genetic correlations and standard errors for analyses that include both sexes. Specific $r_g$ estimates and uncorrected $p$-values are embedded in the right side of the figure. Moderate, positive genetic correlations were found between PTSD and insomnia symptoms (all four definitions of the phenotype; $r_g$ range 0.36–0.49), short sleepers ($r_g$ range 0.48–0.49), and long sleepers ($r_g$ range 0.32–0.44), and all except for the initial estimate for long sleepers ($r_g = 0.44$; $p = 0.0027$) remained significant following Bonferroni correction ($p < 0.0022$; see Figure 1 for raw $p$-values; range 1.3E–13 to 5.7E–05 for these phenotypes). Several daytime sleepiness phenotypes showed small positive correlations with PTSD, although only napping during the day ($r_g = 0.21$) remained statistically significant following correction. In contrast, small, negative genetic correlations were found between PTSD and continuous sleep duration, with the correlations becoming larger in magnitude and significant after correction with increasing sample size ($r_g$ range [significant] = −0.22 to −0.23). There was also a significant correlation between waking up in the morning ($r_g = 0.23$; with higher scores indicating that the participant finds it easier to wake up on a typical day) and PTSD. Finally, there were no significant genetic correlations between any variation of chronotype (six different sets of summary statistics) and PTSD.

**Sex-specific analyses (i.e. for females only)**

Results of sex-specific analyses are shown in Table 2, along with $h_{SNP}^2$ estimates and other sample information. There were four phenotypes available for analysis (two for insomnia symptoms, one for daytime sleepiness, and one for sleep duration). Both insomnia phenotypes had significant, small to moderate correlations with PTSD in females ($r_g = 0.26$, SE = 0.10, $p = 0.0069$ for Lane et al. [19]; $r_g = 0.44$, SE = 0.12, $p = 0.0002$ for Hammerschlag et al. [27]), consistent with analyses within the full sample. However, neither daytime sleepiness ($r_g = −0.14$, SE = 0.10, $p = 0.15$) nor sleep duration ($r_g = 0.07$, SE = 0.11, $p = 0.50$) were significantly correlated with PTSD for females, which is also consistent with results presented above.

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/sleep/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/sleep/zsz257/5658424)
Results from TwoSampleMR analyses investigating potential causal relationships between four sleep phenotypes (exposures) and PTSD (outcome) are shown in Table 3. There was no evidence to support causal relationships between any of the four sleep phenotypes (insomnia symptoms, sleep duration, short sleepers, long sleepers) and PTSD utilizing any of the five MR methods. Furthermore, none of the phenotypes showed strong evidence for significant heterogeneity (all p values > 0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source†</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>SNP-heritability</th>
<th>Genetic correlation with PTSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD [17]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC, Freeze 1.5</td>
<td>PTSD, binary</td>
<td>15 656 (6128 Ca; 9528 Co)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.05)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC, Freeze 2†</td>
<td>PTSD, binary</td>
<td>86 600 (12 973 Ca; 73 627 Co)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.02)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep phenotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [19]</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms,§ binary</td>
<td>30 445 (NR Ca; NR Co)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.10) 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammerschlag et al. [27]</td>
<td>Insomnia symptoms,§ binary</td>
<td>59 367 (19 521 Ca; 39 846 Co)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.12) 0.0002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [19]</td>
<td>Excessive daytime sleepiness, continuous</td>
<td>59 576</td>
<td>0.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.10) 0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al. [19]</td>
<td>Sleep duration, continuous</td>
<td>59 365</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.11) 0.498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mendelian randomization was conducted utilizing the TwoSampleMR package in R.
†Heterogeneity tests were conducted on the MR Egger and inverse variance weighted results within the TwoSampleMR package.
‡Horizontal directional pleiotropy was tested using the TwoSampleMR package.

**p < 0.05.**
for both MR Egger and IVW analysis) or horizontal directional pleiotropy (all \( p \) values > 0.05) contributing to the results. As there were no significant results within the main MR analyses, we are not presenting results of single SNP analyses. Scatter plots showing MR results for each method, as well as forest plots of each individual SNP, are available upon request. Of note, there were only six SNPs included in analyses of long sleepers following clumping, so results should be interpreted in light of the smaller number of instruments for this phenotype.

**Discussion**

This analysis is the first demonstration that PTSD and sleep-related traits have overlapping genetic architecture based on molecular genetic data. We also present the first analyses examining causal relationships between sleep phenotypes and PTSD, although we did not find substantial evidence for causality within this data. While correlations are expected based on both the twin and molecular genetics literatures (PTSD has genetic overlap with symptoms of other psychiatric disorders, particularly depression [22], which themselves overlap with insomnia [23]), genetic overlap between insomnia and PTSD has not been explicitly examined. However, results should be interpreted in the context of low SNP heritability estimates, as shown in Table 1: PTSD itself has a SNP heritability of 5% within the PGC Freeze 2 sample [17] and most sleep phenotypes used within this manuscript have SNP heritabilities under 15%. This means that while significant, correlations represent small portions of shared variance in the traits examined (although note that all phenotypes had the recommended heritability Z-score > 4, which indicates that power is appropriate and estimates are interpretable) [35].

**Genetic correlations, mixed sexes**

The strongest and most consistent genetic correlations across studies were found for PTSD and insomnia symptoms, which is not surprising given that insomnia symptoms are included within PTSD symptom clusters. Furthermore, published GWAS of insomnia phenotypes support that insomnia symptoms have substantial shared genetics with psychiatric disorders in general and less of a relationship with other sleep phenotypes such as chronotype and sleep duration [29, 30]. Thus, one would expect to see the most robust genetic correlation with PTSD for insomnia symptoms when compared to other phenotypes examined here. Estimates of genetic correlations between insomnia symptoms and PTSD reported here ranged from 0.36 to 0.49. These estimates are similar in magnitude to those reported in other studies estimating molecular genetics overlap between insomnia and depression, where estimates have ranged from 0.34 to 0.51 [19, 27, 28, 39]. The magnitude is also similar to the reported genetic correlations between PTSD and depression (\( r = 0.34 \) in initial PGC-PTSD results [16] and \( r = 0.62 \) in PGC-PTSD Freeze 2 [17]). Given that PTSD and depression are highly comorbid [40] and known to share genetic architecture as demonstrated in twin and molecular studies [16, 25, 26], similarities across genetic correlations are logical. Notably, genetic correlations discussed here are moderate, suggesting that each trait has a considerable degree of unique genetic architecture not accounted for by the insomnia/PTSD overlap.

For other sleep-related traits, the highest correlations were between short sleepers (sleeping 6 or less hours a night), and long sleepers (sleeping 9 or more hours a night), with weaker but significant correlations seen with napping during the day and waking up in the morning. A moderate, positive correlation was seen for any ICD-10 sleep diagnosis (\( r = 0.43 \)), but this was only nominally significant, as it did not pass multiple testing correction. Positive genetic correlations between both oversleeping and undersleeping are consistent with the epidemiologic literature demonstrating that both short- and long-sleep increase risk for PTSD in veterans [41] and provide evidence for a relationship between genetic influences on the extremes of sleep duration and PTSD. In contrast, only two of four continuous sleep duration phenotypes survived multiple testing correction, and sleep duration was negatively correlated with PTSD, with the highest correlation at \(-0.23\). With increasing sample sizes, and thus increasing power, we provide evidence that sleep duration is indeed correlated with PTSD, although not at the same magnitude as the extremes of duration (short/long sleepers). Correlations between the extremes of sleep duration and sleep duration itself (estimated using LDSC and summary statistics data from Doshi et al. [42]) are high, with \( r = 0.69 \) for long sleepers and \( r = -0.89 \) short sleepers (this makes sense given the U-shaped curve of sleep duration). There are also published genetic correlations between sleep duration and schizophrenia (0.29) [19] and schizophrenia and PTSD (0.33) [16], which provides some support for a sleep duration and PTSD association.

Large GWAS are beginning to identify a substantial number of loci for sleep duration [42], and combining self-report with actigraphy measures, but it may be that sleep duration is less heritable and/or a more complex phenotype. It does not appear to be strongly correlated with insomnia symptoms [19], and as shown in Table 1, may be less heritable than insomnia symptoms and chronotype. Results from a twin study of sleep duration and depression may help reconcile these findings: Watson and colleagues [43] found that sleep duration moderates genetic influences on depression. Results indicated that, while initial models did not demonstrate genetic overlap, shared genetic influences on the two traits increased as sleep duration became more extreme. Furthermore, the heritability of depression was higher for individuals who were short or long (vs. normal) sleepers within this sample [43]. These results highlight the complexity of genetic influences on sleep duration, and thus it may be that shared genetic influences between sleep duration and PTSD can best be seen at extremes of duration, as opposed to a linear relationship with sleep duration.

Finally, there was no evidence for a significant genetic correlation between PTSD and chronotype. Genetic variants in clock genes have shown association with mood disorders [44, 45] RORA has been implicated in PTSD [18], and a recent meta-analysis of PTSD candidate gene studies implicates a polymorphism in ADCYAP1R1 [46], the gene coding for the pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) receptor, which is an important neurotransmitter in circadian regulation [47, 48]. However, this evidence for a role of circadian genes in PTSD is not robust and should be interpreted in light of numerous problems with the candidate gene method (e.g. false positives, assumption of incorrect effect sizes) and the potential for false-positive GWAS results, particularly with smaller sample sizes [49, 50]. Thus, it is plausible that shared genetic influences between circadian rhythms and PTSD are not significant. Consistent with our findings, one GWAS failed to find any genetic overlap between chronotype and insomnia symptoms,
despite a known role of circadian rhythms in insomnia [19]. Additional research is needed to further elucidate the role of circadian genes in PTSD, and a better understanding of the role of circadian loci in chronotype, as is emerging from new GWAS [51], will also be useful in this endeavor.

Sex-specific genetic correlations

We also attempted to estimate sex-based genetic correlations where possible, given existing evidence for quantitative sex differences across both phenotypes [15–17]. Note, however, that recent GWAS have not identified substantial sex differences in SNP-heritability estimates at the molecular level for insomnia symptoms [27, 30]. Analyses were unfortunately limited to females only, as the SNP-heritability of PTSD for males in the PGC does not differ from zero [17]. We report similar estimates for females only as in the full samples for two insomnia phenotypes (0.26 and 0.44, as compared to 0.36 and 0.49 in the mixed-sex samples), and the standard errors are larger. This is not surprising given the loss in power due to smaller sample size in sex-specific analyses. It may be that with increasing sample sizes, significant differences in the genetic correlation become observation (i.e. more robust for females than in males), but this will need to be further investigated in future studies.

Mendelian randomization analyses

In order to better elucidate the nature of the relationships between significantly correlated sleep phenotypes and PTSD, we conducted the first set of MR analyses for sleep exposure variables (insomnia symptoms, sleep duration, short sleepers, long sleepers) and PTSD. We did not find substantial evidence for causal relationships between sleep and PTSD, despite running analyses using results from the largest available GWAS of validated sleep phenotypes and PGC–PTSD data. However, given the lack of robust, replicated associations for sleep phenotypes that can be used as instruments, particularly when compared to other psychiatric phenotypes like schizophrenia [52] and low number of GWS hits for PTSD [17], this is not necessarily unexpected. The MR approach itself has limitations, particularly with regard to pleiotropy and weak instrument bias [33]. We were also interested in examining bidirectionality, given complex relationships between sleep and PTSD [6], but were not powered to conduct analyses of causality in the reverse direction, given that there are only two GWS SNPs for PTSD in Freeze 2 [17]. TwoSampleMR does include a test of bidirectionality [33], but this was likely under powered as well. Although these results are largely inconclusive, further examination of the shared genetic basis between sleep and PTSD with regard to bidirectionality and causality is warranted as methods evolve and more datasets become available.

Limitations

The present study included data from the largest PTSD GWAS meta-analysis to date (N = 174 659 EA individuals) and the largest published sleep-related GWAS results. However, there are a number of limitations to consider. Given that sleep disturbance is part of PTSD diagnostic criteria, this may induce some degree of correlation based on overlapping phenotype definitions. In one twin study, this confound was reduced by removing sleep items from depression operationalization [23] but that was not possible here. The sleep-related phenotypes are mostly limited to single-item self-report items rather than validated questionnaires, clinician diagnoses, or objective data. Furthermore, analyses were only conducted on individuals of EA given limitations of LDSC. As our understanding of how to approach admixed populations in genetic studies increases, future studies that replicate findings across other ancestry groups will be useful. Similarly, all sleep phenotypes used UK Biobank data, which limits the generalizability of results. Finally, summary statistics for many sleep phenotypes came from the UK Biobank GWAS (http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank/, last accessed May 30, 2019) and should be considered preliminary, as phenotypes have not been validated and genetic analyses have specific constraints (see Methods section for more details).

Conclusions

In summary, these results indicate that there are common genetic factors shared between PTSD and sleep-related phenotypes, in particular insomnia symptoms. This has implications for gene finding efforts, as genes that have been identified (or are identified in the future) for PTSD may also be important in sleep phenotypes; the reverse may also be true. Thus, further investigation of specific variants and genes may shed light on the underlying pathophysiology of both disorders. For example, one could hypothesize that stress response pathways may be involved in the development of both sleep problems and PTSD, given relationships between sleep and stress [53]. As sleep problems and PTSD are highly comorbid and the literature regarding the optimal way to treat both is a work in progress [54], a better understanding of the relationships between sleep and PTSD at the genetic level could lead to the development of novel treatment approaches as the field advances. Future studies with more in-depth phenotyping and genotyping, functional studies of identified variants, and analyses that test for causality and bidirectionality are clearly needed to advance this line of research.
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