Retrospective information about teenage alcohol use was obtained from 1589 adult twin pairs aged 20–30 years from the Australian twin register. Twin pairs were highly concordant both for teenage drinking (for abstinence) and for early versus late onset of drinking. Sociodemographic variables (e.g., parental occupation and parental religious affiliation) and psychosocial variables (e.g., personality and attitudinal traits), assessed when the twins were adults, were comparatively poor predictors of teenage drinking. Environmental influences on onset of drinking appeared to be sex-specific, i.e., uncorrelated over twins from unlike-sex pairs. Among drinkers, early versus late onset of drinking was more strongly influenced by inherited factors in females, but by shared features of the social environment (e.g., family background or school experience) in males.

The onset of alcohol use, like loss of virginity, is arguably one of the major transitions of adolescence. Loss of virginity and use of marijuana and of other illicit drugs are all much more common among teenage drinkers than among abstainers. The course of development of teenage delinquent behavior is strongly associated with age of onset of alcohol use. Alcohol-related problems are also much more common in those adolescents with early onset of alcohol use. Most studies of teenage drinking have focused on environmental determinants of early versus late age of onset of drinking. Peer pressure or peer approval of drinking are associated with age of onset of alcohol use. Genetic factors also influence onset of alcohol use, and factors influence early versus late age of onset of drinking? Do genetic factors influence early versus late age of onset of drinking? To address these questions, we have analyzed data on age of onset of drinking as reported by adult twin pairs participating in a mailed questionnaire survey of an Australian twin sample.

Methods

Sample and Measures

Between November 1980 and March 1982, self-report questionnaires were mailed to all 3967 adult twin pairs, aged 18 years or greater, enrolled on the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) volunteer twin panel. Responses were received from 3810 complete pairs of adult twins (1233 female monogamous, 567 male monogamous, 751 female dizygotic, 352 male dizygotic, and 907 unlike-sex dizygotic pairs), giving a 64% response rate. Although female respondents and monogamous twin pairs were overrepresented in the sample, a common problem with volunteer twin panels, and uneducated pairs were underrepresented, the sample was found to be representative of the Australian population for measures of personality, symptoms of anxiety and depression, and weekly alcohol consumption. A subsample of 100 twins who responded to the main mailing had previously received a pilot version of the questionnaire, on average, 4 months previously. This subsample thus provided us with pilot data with which to examine the test-retest reliability of our measures.

Because of our interest in the determinants of teenage drinking, our analyses focused on those twin pairs aged at least 20 years, so that our entire sample had passed through its "period of risk" for teenage drinking. Two items in the questionnaire asked whether respondents had "ever taken alcoholic drinks" and "at what age did you start drinking alcohol?" From the answers to these two items, and from the age of the respondent, we were able to classify all respondents as drinkers or abstainers at age 20, and this is the first variable analyzed here ("teenage abstinence").
The second variable, "age started drinking," is scored for all subjects except those who were still abstainers at age 20. The use of retrospective questions about teenage alcohol use had the obvious disadvantage of permitting errors in recall, but had the advantage of encouraging honest responding even in cases where respondents had started consuming alcohol below the legal drinking age of 18 years. To minimize errors of recall, we excluded from the analysis all twins pairs aged 31 years or older. Thus our final sample size was reduced to 1589 twin pairs (480 female monzygotic, 228 male monzygotic, 293 female dizygotic, 170 male dizygotic, and 418 unlike-sex dizygotic pairs). A very small number of twin pairs were separated from one another during their childhood (six pairs by age 10; a further 14 pairs by age 14). These numbers were too small to permit analyses of the effects of separation history. These pairs were therefore combined with pairs reared together throughout their childhood.

In addition to questions about alcohol consumption, respondents were asked to report a variety of demographic information, including educational level, frequency of church attendance, father's occupational level, and religious affiliation of self, mother, and father. They also completed the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire, which assesses extraversion, neuroticism, and psychoticism (a measure of touch-middnessedness), and lie (the tendency to give socially conforming responses); and a version of the Wilson-Patterson Conservation questionnaire, modified for use in Australia, which assesses the conservatism of the respondent's views on a variety of social and political topics (e.g., the death penalty, abortion). Only current information, not retrospective information, was sought about these sociodemographic and psychosocial variables. However, evidence for the temporal stability from adolescence into adulthood of at least some of the personality variables (extraversion, neuroticism, psychoticism) is provided by an English study which obtained data both from adolescent twin pairs and their parents, and from adult twin pairs. Significant genetic effects were found on these personality variables both in adolescence and in adulthood. Moreover, relatively high parent-offspring correlations were obtained, indicating considerable overlap (most marked for neuroticism, least for psychoticism) between the genetic influences in the two generations. Thus, in so far as the same genes are influencing these personality traits both in adolescence and in adulthood, we can predict adolescent personality from personality assessed in adulthood. For religious affiliation, parent-offspring resemblance was due to environmental rather than genetic factors, but once again the very high parent-offspring correlations found in the Australian survey imply a high degree of continuity from adolescence into adulthood. (Temporal or developmental instability would tend to reduce parent-offspring correlations). These are important considerations when we are attempting to correlate earlier drinking behavior with current psychosocial assessments.

Model-fitting Analyses

For comparability with other surveys of alcohol use, we have reported all significant associations between teenage drinking and sociodemographic and psychosocial variables. Logistic multiple regression analysis was used to identify predictors of teenage abstinence (a dichotomous variable); stepwise multiple-regression analysis was used for the continuous variable "age started drinking." Our major focus, however, was the resolution of the effects of genotype and shared environment on twin concordance for teenage drinking. The methods of model-fitting by maximum likelihood which we have used are standard statistical methods, so we shall refer the interested reader elsewhere for full technical details.9-11

For teenage abstinence, which is a dichotomous variable, two-way contingency tables were computed, cross-classifying drinking status of first twin at age 20 by the drinking status of the cotwin. (In the case of unlike-sex pairs, the status of the female twin was cross-classified by the status of the male twin.) Genetic and environmental models were fitted to the full set of five twin contingency tables (monzygotic male and female and dizygotic male, female, and unlike-sex pairs) by maximum likelihood. This yielded an overall $\chi^2$ test of the goodness-of-fit of each model. The fit of each model was also compared to that of the most general model by likelihood ratio ($\chi^2$ difference) test.13

We have treated reported age of onset as a continuous variable. This is not strictly correct; it is actually a meristic variable, since respondents were asked to report the age at which they started drinking in years, but the approximation to continuity is likely to be good, since the effective number of response categories is large. We have also ignored the problem that in analyzing the determinants of age of onset of teenage drinking, we are necessarily dealing with a truncated sample, since nondrinkers have no age of onset. However, since the percentage of respondents who remained abstinent through age 20 is small (<12%), ignoring this truncation will not seriously bias our inferences about the causes of differences in age of onset in the whole cohort.14

To resolve the contributions of genotype and shared environment to twin resemblance for age of onset of drinking, covariance matrices were computed for each twin group, giving the variances and covariance for age of onset of first and second twins (or female and males twins in the case of unlike-sex pairs). Genetic and environmental models were fitted to these by maximum-likelihood covariance structure analysis, weighting each matrix by its degrees of freedom (to take account of the varying sample sizes for male and female pairs, and monzygotic and dizygotic pairs), in the standard manner.15-17 For each model we thus obtained a $\chi^2$ test of goodness-of-fit, and again compared the fit of the model to that of the most general model by likelihood-ratio $\chi^2$ test.

We wished to resolve four major hypotheses by model-fitting (summarized in Table 1), i.e., that onset of teenage drinking is: (a) environmentally determined, and all environmental influences are uncorrelated over twin pairs (i.e., there is no twin resemblance for teenage drinking: "random environment" model); (b) influenced by both genes and environment, but environmental influences are uncorrelated over twin pairs (so that twin resemblance is entirely genetic in origin: "genetic model"); (c) environmentally determined, but some important environmental influences are shared by members of a twin pair (e.g., family background, place of schooling, etc.: "shared environment" model); or (d) influenced by both genotype and shared environment ("full model"). In testing for genetic effects on teenage drinking, we made the usual assumption that the "environmental" correlation between monzygotic (MZ) twin pairs is no greater than that between dizygotic (DZ) pairs (the validity of this assumption has been discussed extensively elsewhere).18

In addition to resolving the effects of genes and environment on onset of teenage drinking, we wished to test for sex differences in the determinants of drinking. We wished to determine whether (e) the effects of random environment, shared environment, or of genes differ in magnitude in the two sexes (models 2, 4, 7, 10 in Table 1); and especially, (f) whether the correlation between the effects of shared environment in the two sexes (or between genetic effects in the two sexes) is less than unity (models 5, 8, 11). Under hypothesis (e), salient environmental features are equally shared by twins of the same sex and twins of unlike-sex (such as would be the case for features of family background such as parental drinking habits and attitudes: models 7, 10), or the same genes are important in both sexes (models 4, 10); but their impact on teenage drinking may be greater in one sex than in the other. Under hypothesis (f) environmental effects are imperfectly correlated in twins of unlike sex, (as would be expected if, for example, social influences of same-sex peas are important: model 8); or gene effects in the two sexes are imperfectly correlated (as may arise if different genes are influencing the same trait in the two sexes: model 5). Information on unlike-sex twin pairs is important in that it allows us to resolve these two hypotheses. Our most general model (model 11 in Table 1) allows for sex-dependent genetic effects, sex-dependent shared environmental effects, and a correlation less than unity between shared environmental effects in the two sexes. It is in theory possible for different sets of genes to be influencing onset in the two sexes, but in practice few such cases have been documented.
RESULTS

The test-retest correlation for age started drinking, based on 66 respondents from the pilot sample who were drinkers and reported age of onset on both occasions, was 0.975 (for males: \( N = 26, r = 0.64 \); for females: \( N = 40, r = 0.98 \)). Thus recall of age of onset appears to be reasonably accurate.

The rates of tee-totalism in the 20–30-year-age group which is the focus of this report are very low (8.48% in males, 11.06% in females), reflecting the widespread acceptance of alcohol consumption in Australia.49 Figure 1 plots the cumulative age-of-onset distribution for alcohol use to age 20, separately for males and females. A very small proportion of respondents reported use of alcohol but did not start to drink until after age 20 (1.96% of males, 3.26% of females). The majority of respondents of both sexes had started drinking by age 17.

Sociodemographic and Psychosocial Correlates

Table 1 lists the sociodemographic and psychosocial variables which were predictive of teenage drinking or abstinence; and of early or late onset of drinking. Compared to abstainers, teenage drinkers were more extraversed, less socially conforming, and less conservative. There were no significant differences in toughmindedness or neuroticism. Teenage drinkers were more likely to report their own religious affiliation (females), or the religious affiliation of their mother (males), as Catholic, Church of England or "No religion" rather than "Other Protestant," and they were less regular in their church attendance. Younger respondents were more likely to report that they drank as teenagers than older respondents. No association was found between abstinence from teenage alcohol use and socioeconomic status, whether measured by either father’s occupational status, or own educational level, at the time of the survey.

Among teenage drinkers, early onset of drinking was associated in both sexes with lack of conservatism, with socioeconomic status, whether measured by either father’s occupational status, or own educational level, at the time of the survey.

![Fig. 1. Cumulative age-of-onset distribution for alcohol use.](image)

Significance at the 5% ("), 1% ("), and 0.1% (""") levels in logistic or stepwise multiple regression analyses. -. low scores associated with teenage drinking. religious affiliation (in females and males, respectively). In females only, early offset of drinking was also associated with toughmindedness. In males, early onset of drinking was also associated with low educational level. The respondent’s age at the time of the survey was the single best predictor of reported age of onset of drinking, older females \( (r = 0.31, p < 0.001) \) and males \( (r = 0.19, p < 0.001) \) reporting a later-age of onset. In a multiple-regression equation, linear, quadratic, and cubic components of the polynomial regression of age of onset of drinking in either sex. No significant differences in toughmindedness or neuroticism. Teenage drinkers were more likely to report their own religious affiliation (females), or the religious affiliation of their mother (males), as Catholic, Church of England or "No religion" rather than "Other Protestant," and they were less regular in their church attendance. Younger respondents were more likely to report that they drank as teenagers than older respondents. No association was found between abstinence from teenage alcohol use and socioeconomic status, whether measured by either father’s occupational status, or own educational level, at the time of the survey.

Minimum restrictions on model were: 45.8% in females, 3.2% in males). Overall prediction of age of onset of drinking remained relatively poor.

We found no association between twin zygosities and age of onset of drinking in either sex, nor between zygosity and teenage abstinence. If major differences between zygosity groups had been found, this would have indicated possible sampling biases which might invalidate our model
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**Table 1. Sociodemographic and Psychosocial Correlates of Teenage Drinking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Teenage drinking/abstinence</th>
<th>Early onset of drinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social conformity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toughmindedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church attendance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance at the 5% ("), 1% ("), and 0.1% (""") levels in logistic or stepwise multiple regression analyses. -. low scores associated with teenage drinking.
fitting analyses, suggesting that these nonsignificant results are reassuring.

Genetic Analyses: Teenage Abstinence

Twin concordances for teenage alcohol use, and the maximum-likelihood estimates of twin tetrachoric correlations for age of onset of drinking (abstainer or drinker), and their standard errors, are given in Table 3. Despite relatively large sample sizes, standard errors are large because of the small number of pairs where one or both twins was still an abstainer at age 20. Nonetheless, twin resemblance for drinking status was highly significant, permitting us to reject the "Random Environment" models (models 1 and 2 in Table 1).

The results of fitting models to the contingency tables for drinking status are summarized in Table 4. Since we have already been able to reject the random environment model, results for models 1 and 2 are not tabulated. All models which ignored sex differences (models 3, 6, 9) were rejected by $\chi^2$ test of goodness-of-fit. However, there was insufficient power to resolve the "shared environment" model, allowing for different shared environmental effects in the two sexes (model 8), and the genetic model allowing for sex-limited gene action (model 4), with any confidence. Model 8 gave an adequate fit to the data, and was just rejected by likelihood-ratio $\chi^2$ test when compared with the fit of the full model ($\chi^2 = 6.14, p = 0.05$). Under this model, 62% of the variance in liability to abstain in females, and 89% of the variance in males, was attributable to shared environmental effects, but the correlation between the shared environmental effects which are influencing abstinence in the two sexes was estimated as only 0.44. Model 4 also gave a good fit to the data, and did not give a significantly worse fit than the full model ($\chi^2 = 3.31, p = 0.35$). Under this alternative model, 69% of the variance in females, and 95% of the variance in males, was due to genetic influences on abstinence. It seems likely that both shared environmental and genetic factors contribute, to differing extents, to abstinence in males and females. Under the full model (model 11), genes and shared environment account for 35 and 32% of the variance in males, and 47 and 48% of the variance in females, but the correlation between shared environmental effects in the two sexes is small and indeed negative ($r = -0.11$).

Age of Onset of Drinking

Regardless of the cause of the association between age of onset and age of respondent, we are able to remove the effect from our analysis; reported age of onset was corrected for the cubic regression of age of onset on respondent's age at the time of the survey, separately for males and females, prior to genetic analysis. Table 5 gives the covariance matrices for age-corrected age of onset of teenage drinking, for twin pairs where both twins reported starting to drink as teenagers, i.e., between the ages 13 and 20. Pairs where one or both twins still abstained from drinking at age 20 have been excluded, as have the few pairs where one or both twins reported starting to drink before age 13. Once again the monozygotic female correlation is much greater than the dizygotic female correlation (0.52 vs. 0.42), but now, the male like-sex-correlations are of similar magnitude (0.52 for male MZ pairs; 0.54 for male DZ pairs). As before, the correlation between unlike-sex pairs (0.26) is low, compared to the correlation between like-sex pairs.

Table 6 summarizes the results of fitting genetic and environmental models to the twin covariance matrices. All models which assumed no effect of shared environment on age of onset of drinking (models 3–5) were rejected by $\chi^2$ test of goodness-of-fit. All models which ignored sex differences in the determinants of age of onset (models 3, 6, 9) were also rejected. Model 8, under which twin resemblance for age of onset of drinking is entirely environmental in origin, with a correlation between shared environmental effects in the two sexes of less than unity, gave an adequate fit to the data. Under this model, 51% of the variance in age of onset in female twins, and 52% of the variance in male twins, is attributable to shared environmental effects, but the correlation between sexes for these effects is only 0.48. However, this nongenetic model gave a significantly worse fit than the full model (model 11), by likelihood-ratio $\chi^2$ test ($\chi^2 = 8.49, p = 0.01$). Model 10, which allowed for sex-limited effects of both genes and family background on age of onset, but with the same genes and the same features of family background being important in both sexes, gave an excellent fit to the data and did not give a significantly worse fit than the full model ($\chi^2 = 1.38, p = 0.24$). Model 10 is therefore the model which best accounts for our observed data. Under model 10, genetic effects have no influence on age of onset in males, but account for 44% of the variance in age of onset in females. Shared environmental effects account for 51% of the variance in males, but for only 14% of the variance in females. Thus while there is a strong influence of social environment on the timing of...
the onset of male drinking, the onset of female drinking is more markedly influenced by genetic differences.

CONCLUSIONS

Others have reported finding significant associations between onset of teenage drinking and sociodemographic variables such as church attendance and religious affiliation.3,11 We were able to confirm these associations in our sample, and to show that psychosocial variables such as extraversion, social conformity and conservatism were also strong predictors of onset of teenage-drinking. Similar correlations were found with age of first sexual intercourse in English twins,1 and reinforce the stereotype of the precocious teenagers as outgoing, extraverted, and less restrained by social mores than their peers. Nevertheless, we found that these variables together explained comparatively little of the variance in age of onset of drinking (less than 6% in either sex).

Abstinence from teenage alcohol use was too rare in our sample to permit clear resolution of its social and genetic determinants by rigorous statistical criteria. This was not unexpected, in view of the widespread acceptance of alcohol use in Australia.49,51 However, it seems likely that both genetic and shared environmental factors determine abstinence, although to differing degrees in males and females.

When we considered age of onset of teenage drinking, we found no evidence for any genetic influence in males, but a moderate genetic influence in females. Shared environment was relatively unimportant in females, but was having a major effect in males. These findings may be contrasted with the evidence for a quite substantial genetic influence on current average weekly alcohol consumption by this sample (i.e., consumption at the time they completed the questionnaire), in both sexes.30,33 58% of the variance in consumption in female twins aged 18–30, and 45% of the variance in male twins aged 18–30, was attributable to genetic factors (and 0 and 21% of the variance to shared environment). Apparently, the effects of genetic predisposition to heavy versus light alcohol consumption only arise once alcohol use has started, and have relatively little effect on age of onset of drinking. These genetic influences on normal differences in patterns of alcohol use must in turn be largely distinct from genetic influences predisposing to alcoholism—where a greater impact of heredity is observed in males than in females.19,25

The sex difference in the determinants of age of onset of teenage drinking which we have discovered may reflect greater acceptance of male drinking than of female drinking among Australian teenagers.17 Jessor and Jessor8 found that when a behavior (e.g., marijuana use) is "deviant" in one group (high school students) but not in another (college students), its association with personality variables is much weaker in the latter than in the former group. We have observed essentially this pattern: personality attributes account for 4.8% of the variance in age of onset of

---

Table 4. Results of Fitting Models to Twin Concordances for Teenage Drinking Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Goodness-of-fit test</th>
<th>Likelihood-ratio test (vs. model 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>x^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Genetic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Genetic +</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Genetic *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Shared environ.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Shared environ. +</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Shared environ. *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Full</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Full +</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Full *</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, model allows for sex differences in the magnitude of genetic or environmental effects; * model allows for correlation less than unity between genetic or environmental effects in the two sexes.

Table 5. Twin Covariance Matrices for Age-Corrected Age of Onset of Alcohol Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Goodness-of-fit test</th>
<th>Likelihood-ratio test (vs. model 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>x^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monozygotic females (N = 389)</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monozygotic males (N = 187)</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diogygotic females (N = 248)</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diogygotic males (N = 149)</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twin correlations are given as the upper element of each matrix.

Table 6. Results of Fitting Models to Twin Covariance Matrices for Age of Onset of Teenage Alcohol Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Goodness-of-fit test</th>
<th>Likelihood-ratio test (vs. model 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d.f.</td>
<td>x^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Genetic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Genetic +</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Genetic *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Shared environment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>53.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Shared environment +</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Shared environment *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Full</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Full +</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Full *</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, model allows for sex differences in the magnitude of genetic or environmental effects; * model allows for correlation less than unity between genetic or shared environmental effects in the two sexes.

† d.f., degrees of freedom.

---
females, but only 3.2% of the variance in age of onset in males. Even though the correlation between personality variables and age of onset was comparatively modest, it must be remembered that we are correlating a measure of current adult personality with reported behavior as a teenager. In view of the substantial evidence for genetic effects on personality, it is not altogether surprising that we find significant genetic variation in age of onset of drinking in females.

The absence of genetic effects on age of onset in males was unexpected, given the evidence for a highly heritable subtype of alcohol abuse (Cloninger's "male-limited" alcohol abuse), expressed primarily in males, and associated with antisocial behavior and early onset of alcohol use. Such individuals would have been rare in our survey, which used a sample ascertained from the general population rather than from a clinical population; and which relied on the voluntary cooperation of respondents in completing and returning the self-report questionnaire. If the inheritance of "male-limited" alcohol abuse is polygenic (i.e., involving many genetic loci of small effect)26), however, we would still expect to have included in our sample many individuals intermediate in genetic liability, and therefore we would expect to find evidence for genetic effects on age of onset of drinking. Serious undersampling of such individuals should lead to zygosity differences in mean and variance of age of onset of alcohol use, differences which we did not observe. It is possible that the inheritance of "male-limited" alcohol abuse is explained by the effects of a relatively small number of genetic loci of large effect ("major gene inheritance")25), in which case we would not necessarily expect to find genetic effects on age of onset of alcohol use in a clinically unselected population. Alternatively, it may be the case that widespread acceptance of teenage alcohol use in our Australian population has led to a very weak relationship between antisocial behavior and early onset of drinking. More detailed genetic studies of teenage drinking in the Australian population are needed to resolve these possibilities.

Our analyses demonstrate strikingly the importance of familial influences (whether they be genetic or environmental) on teenage alcohol use. Some 51% of the variance in age of onset in males, and 58% of the variance in females, is explained by the effects of genes and shared environment. These proportions of variance are an order of magnitude larger than the variance accounted for by measured sociodemographic and psychosocial variables. It seems that the strategy of studying teenage alcohol use of family members, refined by increasingly detailed measurement of school, home, and peer environmental influences, would greatly improve our understanding of individual differences in teenage alcohol use.
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