No evidence for a genetic basis of tongue of discordant pairs among MZ (34/69) and DZ (56/123) rolling or hand clasping

N. G. MARTIN

CCASIONALLY one still finds in elementary genetics courses and elsewhere, the ability to roll the tongue and the manner of clasping the hands cited as examples of simply inherited human polymorphisms. This is despite extensive evidence to the contrary that has accumulated over several decades.

Sturtevant found that about 65 percent of persons studied were able to turn up the edges of the tongue and although some people were able to learn the ability, family data indicated that it was inherited as a simple dominant allele. However, Matlock reported 7 out of 33 monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs discordant for the ability, and Sturtevant⁸ concluded that "there is sufficient nongenetic influence to make the character practically useless as a genetic marker." He confessed to being "still embarrassed to see it listed in some current works as an established Mendelian case." Ten years later the myth is still not quite dead.

A similar story applies to handclasping. Lutz 4 observed that when the hands are clasped naturally most people will put the same thumb—either left or right uppermost every time.

In progeny of $R \times R$ matings 72 percent (95/135) were R claspers while from L × L matings 42 percent (22/56) were R claspers so Lutz concluded that the trait was under genetic, if not simple Mendelian control. Subsequently, Dahlberg 1 found equal proportions

The author is associated with the Genetics Department, University of Adelaide, South Australia. Reprint requests should be sent to the author at the Genetics Department, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, England.

Table I. Concordance for tongue rolling and hand clasping in a sample of MZ and DZ twin pairs

Tongue rolling	MZ	DZ	Total
Both rollers	15	10	25
One roller, one nonroller Both nonrollers	8	6 3	14 8
Total	28	19	47
	$\chi_z^z = 0.06$		P > 0.05
Hand clasping	MZ	DZ	Total
R - R	9	1	10
R - L	12	11	23
L - L	7	7	14
Total	28	19	47
	$\chi_2^2 = 4.90$		P > 0.05

twin pairs. Other studies 2.3 have found little or no evidence for a genetic component in handclasping from different family relationships.

Tongue rolling and handclasping data from a recent twin study presented here confirm the findings of earlier twin studies. A sample of 47 same-sex twin pairs all aged about 20 years was scored for these two characters. The ascertainment of the sample and its sex and zygosity composition have been reported elsewhere 5. Table I shows the distribution of the two traits in MZ and DZ twin pairs. There is no evidence for greater concordance in MZ pairs in either trait. The 3×2 contingency tables are not strictly applicable because some of the expected numbers are less than five. If concordant classes are grouped the equal concordance of MZ and DZ twins is seen even more clearly for both tongue rolling $(\chi_1^2 = 0.01)$ and hand clasping $(\chi_1^2 = 0.51)$.

This does not exclude the possibility of polygenic predisposition towards one or other morph but any such influence must be slight.

It is possible that patterns of family similarity that have been observed could arise from mimicry of parental behavior when children are learning different motor activities. If this were so one would expect to find significant concordance in both MZ and DZ pairs. Since there is no heterogeneity in the pattern of concordance between MZ and DZ pairs, we can pool them to make an overall test of concordance as shown in Table II.

The discordant pairs are divided equally between the two discordant cells. It appears that the behavior of one twin of a pair is independent of the behavior of the other in both traits. However, the family studies mentioned indicate that there is some parental influence in both tongue rolling and hand clasping. If there is any such influence in these data it is not large enough to be detected.

We must conclude that most of the variance in these traits arises from the specific environmental influences and chances that affect the individual. These

Table II. Concordance for tongue rolling and hand clasping in

		all twin pai	rs	
Tongue rolling		Twin 1		
Twin 2	+	25 7	7 8	32. 15
Total		32	15	47
		$\chi_1^2 = 3.32$		P > 0.05
Hand clas	ping			Total
Twin 2	R L			21.5 25.5
Total			47	
			P > 0.05	

traits illustrate the point that family resemblances reveal little about genetic or environmental determination in the absence of data from twins or relatives reared

Literature Cited-

- 1. Dahlberg, G. Twin Births and Twins From a Hereditary Point of View. Bokforlags A. B. Tidens Trycken, Stockholm. 1926.
- 2. FERRONATO, S., D. THOMAS, and D. SADAVA. Preferences 2. FERRONATO, S., D. 1 HOMAS, and D. SADAVA. FIELEIGINGS for handedness, arm folding and handelasping in families. Human Hered. 24:345-351. 1974.

 3. LAI, L.Y.C. and R.J. WALSH. The patterns of hand

- clasping in different ethnic groups. Human Biol. 37:312-319. 1965.
- 4. LUTZ, F.E. The inheritance of the manner of clasping the hands. Am. Nat. 42:195-196. 1908.
- 5. MARTIN, N.G. and P.G. MARTIN. The inheritance of scholastic abilities in a sample of twins. I. Ascertainment of the sample and diagnosis of zygosity. Ann. Human Genet. in press. 1975.

 6. MATLOCK, P. Identical twins discordant in tongue-rolling.
- J. Hered. 43:24. 1952.
- 7. STURTEVANT. A.H. A new inherited character in man. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 26:100-102. 1940.
- 8. ---- A History of Genetics. Harper and Rowe, New York. 1965.